



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

October 21, 2011

WWAZ License, LLC
c/o Kathleen Victory, Esq.
Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, PLC
1300 North 17th Street, 11th Floor
Arlington, Virginia 22209

WDJT Limited Partnership
c/o Mace J. Rosenstein, Esq.
Covington & Burling LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2401

Re: WWAZ-TV, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin
File No. BMPCDT-20091002AAC
Facility ID No. 60571

Counsel:

On August 12, 2009, the Video Division of the Media Bureau released a Report and Order¹ substituting channel 5 for channel 44 for station WWAZ-TV, Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, and directing WWAZ License, LLC ("WWAZ") to file the above-referenced minor change application for a construction permit specifying channel 5 in lieu of channel 44. WDJT-TV Limited Partnership ("WDJT"), the licensee of WDJT-TV, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, which had opposed the channel substitution, filed a petition for reconsideration of the Report and Order on September 11, 2009. Subsequently, it filed a petition to deny WWAZ's minor change application, arguing that the application should be denied for the reasons set forth in its comments opposing the channel substitution and its petition for reconsideration.

On September 8, 2011, the Video Division denied WDJT's petition for reconsideration.² Because the arguments raised in the petition to deny are identical to those raised in WDJT's petition for reconsideration, the petition to deny IS HEREBY DENIED and the above-referenced application IS GRANTED.³

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "David Brown".

David J. Brown
Associate Chief, Video Division
Media Bureau

¹ *Fond du Lac, Wisconsin*, Report and Order, 24 FCC Rcd 10659 (Vid. Div. 2009).

² *Fond du Lac*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 11-1502 (Vid Div. rel. Sept. 8, 2011).

³ Because we are denying the petition to deny on its merits, we need not determine whether the pleading should be considered as an informal objection as WWAZ contends.