Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

WSYX Licensee, Inc.
c/o Clifford Harrington, Esq.
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1128

Tribune Broadcast Holdings, Inc.
c/o Thomas P. Van Wazer
Sidley Austin LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Independence Television Company
c/o Scott S. Patrick, Esq.
Dow Lohnes PLLC
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036

Re: File No. BPRM-20080620AOV
WSYX-DT, Columbus, Ohio
Facility ID No. 178289

File No. BMPCDT-20080619AKO
WTTV-DT, Bloomington, Indiana
Facility ID No. 56523

File No. BMPCDT-20080620AJS
WDRB-DT, Louisville, Kentucky
Facility ID No. 28476

Dear Licensees:

This is with respect to the above-referenced rulemaking petition filed by WSYX License, Inc. seeking to substitute DTV channel 48 for the assigned channel 13 for station WSYX-DT at Columbus, Ohio; the maximization application filed by Tribune Broadcast Holdings, Inc. for station WTTV-DT, Bloomington, Indiana; and the maximization application filed by Independence Television Company for station WDRB-DT, Louisville, Kentucky. Our engineering analysis shows that the maximization application for station WTTV-DT (Bloomington) is predicted to cause interference to 2.3% of the population within the noise limited contour of the proposed channel substitution facility for station WSYX-DT (Columbus), and is predicted to cause interference to 1.16% of the population within the

noise limited contour of the facility proposed in the station WDRB-DT (Louisville) application. Accordingly, the above-referenced applications are mutually-exclusive.

When the Commission lifted the freeze on the filing of DTV maximization applications and petitions for digital channel substitutions,[1] it announced that until the end of the statutory DTV transition on February 17, 2009, mutually-exclusive applicants would be provided a 30-day period of time to resolve their mutual-exclusivity via engineering amendment or settlement, rather than the 90-day period afforded by Section 73.623(h) of the rules.[2] Similarly, we also shorten the settlement period for rulemaking petitions and mutually-exclusive maximization applications that were received on or before June 20, 2008. If the parties resolve their mutual-exclusivity, we will continue the rulemaking process by issuing the appropriate order and will also continue processing the maximization application. If the parties are unable to resolve their mutual-exclusivity, we will dismiss the rulemaking petition and the maximization application.

Accordingly, the parties have 30 days from the date of this letter to resolve their mutual-exclusivity, either by entering into an interference consent agreement(s) or proposing engineering solutions to eliminate the amount of interference. Submissions involving an application must be filed electronically on FCC Forms 301 and/or 340 using the Commission's Consolidated Database System ("CDBS") via the Internet from the Media Bureau's Web site at http://www/fcc/gov/mb/cdbs.html orhttp://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/prod/cdbs/forms/prod/cdbs_ef.htm. Submissions involving a rulemaking petition must be filed with the Office of the Secretary and an electronic copy sent to joyce.bernstein@fcc.gov and ron.graser@fcc.gov.

Sincerely,

Clay C. Pendarvis
Associate Chief, Video Division
Media Bureau

[1] *See* Commission Lifts the Freeze on the Filing of Maximization Applications and Petitions for Digital Channel Substitutions, Effective Immediately, DA 08-1213 (released May 30, 2008).
[2] 47 C.F.R. § 73.623(h)(3).